

Studies on induced breeding of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) in Hapa Pens

*ORJI RAPHAEL, C.A.¹ AND N.M. INYANG²

1 Department of Fisheries Technology
Michael Okpara College of Agriculture,
P.M.B. 1472, Owerri, Nigeria

2 Department of Zoology,
University of Nigeria,
Nsukka, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Induced breeding of *Clarias gariepinus* was conducted monthly in hapa pens, set up in Otamiri river for nineteen months (June 1993 - December 1994). Results of natural fertilization were unsatisfactory as few eggs were fertilized. Mean relative fecundity, percentage fertilization, percentage hatching and percentage fry survival were: $15.86 \pm 1.95 \times 10^3$, $18.92 \pm 5.28\%$, $13.50 \pm 3.8\%$ and $6.42 \pm 0.72\%$. Results from artificial fertilization were as follows: Mean relative fecundity, $13.80 \pm 2.85 \times 10^3$, percentage fertilization, $81.91 \pm 2.28\%$, percentage hatching, $86.10 \pm 2.46\%$ and percentage fry survival, $21.40 \pm 1.89\%$ respectively. The success of artificial fertilization depended largely on the latency period of 9-11 hours and this suggests that induced breeding in pens is feasible. The poor results from natural fertilization were attributed to lack of adequate substrate for the male fish to display courtship and subsequent fertilization of eggs.

INTRODUCTION

Different facilities and techniques of pen culture method of spawning catfish have been used (TOOLE, 1951; NELSON, 1957). There has been little interest in induced breeding in pens. Instead, complex high technological indoor and outdoor hatchery facilities have been used. Fry or fingerling rearing in pens and cages has been investigated (FAO, 1980; WOYNAROVICH and HORVATH, 1980; FAO, 1983).

Efficient hatchery structures and facilities are expensive and efforts should therefore be channeled towards less expensive alternatives such as cages, pens and net enclosures.

Comparing the advantages of cage culture, pen culture and net enclosure over the conventional pond and tank cultures, OTUBUSIN (1985) stressed that the former systems do not compete with other land uses, e.g. agriculture, urbanization and industry. Further, the systems require limited investments and allow high stocking density of fish and complete control of the harvest. Also, they generally provide high

returns on investment when effectively managed with suitable fish species and culture sites. This paper assesses the use of pens in induced breeding of *Clarias gariepinus* with a view to solving the problem of expensive hatchery structures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clarias gariepinus broodfish used for this investigation were raised from eggs to maturity in the hatchery and grow-out ponds of the Department of Fisheries Technology of Micheal Okpara College of Agriculture Owerri, Nigeria. Assessment of male and female fish for maturity, extraction of pituitary glands from donors, preparations and injection of pituitary hormones were carried out according to VIVEEN *et al* (1985). The hapa netting used in constructing the pens is cheap and readily available in Nigeria. It is "cacoflex coated marine mesh" produced by coating. Engineering Corporation (CEC) USA and is polyamide monofilament netting with a mesh size of 0.5mm and twine diameter of 0.25mm. Each pen was sewn in rectangular form ($1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.0 \text{ m}^3$) and each corner was tied to a bamboo stick in the Otamiri river.

Induced Breeding in Hapa Pens by Natural Spawning

Monthly induced breeding exercises were carried out in two hapa pens for twelve months (June 1993 - May 1994). From June 1994 to December 1994, two sets of hapa pens for both natural spawning and artificial spawning were investigated. For natural spawning, injected male and female spawners were paired. One knock-out injection of homoplastic pituitary was administered for each inducement or the appropriate dosage of 0.33 mg/120g. weight or two glands per fish of equivalent weight. Injections were administered intraperitoneally at the axil of the pectoral fins. The hapa pens were initially set up at fast-flowing sites of the river (water current of 0.25 m.sec.⁻¹ and water discharge of 6.48 m³ sec.⁻¹) for two months-June to July 1993. In August 1993, the pens were transferred to a lentic site where the water was relatively stagnant. From September to October 1993- December 1994, the bases of pens were lowered to rest on the bed of the river. Stability was achieved with the support of pieces of stones at bases of the pens. The transfer of the pens from the fast-flowing site to stagnant sites was necessitated by the male fish to fertilize the female eggs. The lowering of the pens to the bed of the river was to provide a solid substratum for effective courtship and subsequent fertilization of eggs.

Induced Breeding in Hapa Pens by Artificial Fertilization

Two sets of hapa pens were used to assess induced breeding of *C. gariepinus* by artificial fertilization (June-December 1994). Eggs were obtained by stripping, while the male was cut open to squeeze out the milt from the testes as stripping was not possible due to the testes morphology (CLEMENS and SNEED, 1971). A latency period (period between injection and ovulation) of 9-11 hours was found to be ideal for successful fertilization of eggs. The fertilization solution was 8% saline. Fertilized eggs were later transferred to the pens, protected by nylon net mesh. Relative fecundity, percentage fertilization, percentage hatching and percentage fry survival were determined according to VIVEEN *et al* (1985).

RESULTS

In the fast-flowing part of the river, although the females released eggs, there was no fertilization and consequently no hatching of eggs. After the pens were transferred to the swampy sites and the pens rested on the floor of the river, there was partial fertilization of eggs (Table 1). Mean relative fecundity was $15.86 \pm 1.95 \times 10^3$, percentage fertilization $18.92 \pm 5.28\%$, percentage hatching $13.50 \pm 3.87\%$ and percentage fry survival of $6.42 \pm 0.7\%$. Using artificial fertilization, mean relative fecundity, percentage fertilization, percentage hatching and percentage fry survival were $13.80 \pm 2.78 \times 10^3$, $81.83 \pm 2.28\%$, $86.07 \pm 2.46\%$ and $21.36 \pm 1.87\%$ (Table 2). Thus successful induced breeding of *C. gariepinus* in hapa pens is possible by artificial fertilization in stagnant sites of river, while successful results are yet to be achieved with natural fertilization. A latency period of 9-11 hours enhanced successful fertilization of eggs.

DISCUSSION

Results obtained by natural fertilization of eggs were unsatisfactory, even when the hapa pens were transferred to swampy sites. Though eggs were laid, there was no fertilization. Successful induced breeding results in pens have been reported with fish species that spawn in captivity for example carp (HARVEY and HOAR, 1979), channel catfish (CROWFORD, 1958; TOOLE, 1951) and coho salmon (IWAMOTO and HERSHNERGER, 1981). Pen spawning of *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* by EZENWA (1982) was unsuccessful. THOMAS (1981) observed that pen spawning in ponds required more efficient handling of brood fish.

In the present experiment, the only set up which allowed the male to perform its natural courtship and fertilize eggs was that where the hapa pens rested on the river bed.

Successful fertilization by stripping was attributed to an appropriate latency period of 9-11 hours. Knowledge of the ideal latency period permits a more efficient operation. CLEMENS and SNEED (1971) noted that once the latency period lapsed, no fish continued development to complete ovulation stage, suggesting that absorption of hormones was complete and ovulated eggs became overripe and could not be spawned. More induced breeding research efforts on other local species are recommended.

Table 1. Monthly Results of Induced Breeding in Pens (June 1993 - May 1994) By Natural Method

<i>Months</i>	<i>Sex</i>	<i>Wet Pituitary Dosage (mg)</i>	<i>Fish wt. Before Spawn (gm)</i>	<i>Fish wt. After Spawn (gm)</i>	<i>Difference in wt.</i>	<i>Fecundity</i>	<i>Fertilization (percentage)</i>	<i>Hatch (percent)</i>	<i>Survival (percent)</i>
June 1993	F	16.4	375	358.5	16.5	11,550	0	0	0
	M	17.5	375	-	-	-	-	-	-
July 1993	F	8.6	120	106	14	9,800	0	0	0
	M	11.15	215	-	-	-	-	-	-
August 1993	F	11.5	175	167.5	7.5	5,250	0	0	0
	M	13.15	172.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
September 1993	F	12.0	212.5	202.5	10	7,000	0	0	0
	M	14.5	225	-	-	-	-	-	-
October 1993	F	12.2	200	189.5	10.5	7,350	0	0	0
	M	15.8	225	-	-	-	-	-	-
November 1993	F	9.5	150	136.5	13.5	9,450	30	10	10
	M	12.5	150	-	-	-	-	-	-
December 1993	F	8.8	135	131.5	3.5	2,450	0	0	0
	M	12.9	170	-	-	-	-	-	-
January 1993	F	8.7	150	0	0	0	0	0	0
	M	16.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
February 1993	F	8.68	150	137.5	12.5	8,750	25	25	8
	M	9.4	150	-	-	-	-	-	-
March 1993	F	9.9	162.5	158	4.5	3,750	30	25	8
	M	14.3	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
April 1993	F	8.4	165	150	15	10,500	20	12	6
	M	10.8	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
May 1993	F	7.5	155	137.5	17.5	12,250	4	4	2
	M	12.6	250	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2. Mean Monthly Results of Induced Breeding by Stripping in Pens (June - December 1994)

<i>Months</i>	<i>Sex</i>	<i>Wet Pituitary Dosage (mg)</i>	<i>Fish wt. Before Spawn (gm)</i>	<i>Fish wt. After Spawn (gm)</i>	<i>Difference in wt.</i>	<i>Fecundity</i>	<i>Fertilization (percentage)</i>	<i>Hatch (percent)</i>	<i>Survival (percent)</i>
June 1994	F	10.4	150	134	16	11,200	73	73	3
	M	16.7	275	-	-	-	-	-	-
July 1994	F	8.1	125	112	13	9,100	82	87	18
	M	14.2	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
August 1994	F	9.9	175	162	13	9,100	90	95	25
	M	13.9	250	-	-	-	-	-	-
September 1994	F	7.6	125	114	11	7,700	73	70	17
	M	17.2	238	-	-	-	-	-	-
October 1994	F	12.5	200	192	8	5,600	78	95	17
	M	11.8	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
November 1994	F	10.7	200	197	3	2,100	83	93	23
	M	11.7	148	-	-	-	-	-	-
December 1994	F	9.5	155	152	3	2,100	95	90	20
	M	10.2	165	-	-	-	-	-	-

REFERENCES

- Clemens, H.F. and Sneed, K.E. 1971. Bioassay and use of pituitary materials to spawn warm water fish. U.S. Govt. Printing Office:- 1971-Q-442-509: J24 30P.
- Crowford, B. 1958. Propagation of channel catfish at state fish hatchery- Proceedings of the 11th Annual conference, South Eastern Association of Game and Fish Commission, 132-141.
- Ezenwa, B. 1982. Hatchery Production of catfish *C. nigrodigitatus*, Annual Report of Nigerian Institute for Oceanography and Marine Research Lagos 17P.
- FAO, 1980. The artificial propagation of warm water fin fish, a manual for extension:- FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 38, 26P.
- FAO, 1983. Fresh water aquaculture development in China, FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 215, 47P
- Harvey, B. J. and Hoar, W.B., 1979. The theory and practice of induced breeding in fish. IDRC-TS 21e 48P
- Iwamoto, R.N. and Hershberger, S. 1981. Coho broodstock development for marine net pen culture, 1980 Research in Fisheries, University of Washington, 34P.
- Nelson, B. 1957. Propagation of channel catfish in Arkansas:- Proceedings of 10th Annual Conference, Southern- eastern Association of Game and Fish Commission, 165 - 168.
- Otubusin, S.O. 1985. Preliminary studies in bamboo floating cage and net enclosure fish culture in Kainji Lake Basin, Proceedings of the Annual Conference of Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON) 26-29.
- Thomas, C.H. 1951. Catfish farming, USA Dept. of Agriculture Farmer's Bulletin No. 2260:29P
- Toole, M. 1951. Channel catfish culture in Texas, *Prog. Fish Cult.* 13 (1): 3-10.
- Viveen, W.J.A.R. Richer, C.J.J., Van- Oordt, P.G.W.J Janssen, A.L and Huisman, E.A. 1985. Practical manual for the culture of the African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*: Directorate General International Cooperation for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. The Hague, The Netherlands, 5-28.
- Woynarovich, E. and Horvath, L. 1980. The artificial propagation of warm water fin fish, a manual for extension, FAO Fisheries Paper No.201, 183P.